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His friends and colleagues knew him as “Ben.” As he explained it, “My dad was Irving Rouse. I’m Ben” (in Drew, 2006), yet in all of his publications he used the name Irving Rouse. Like Christopher Columbus he “discovered” the native peoples of the Caribbean, and through his work our understanding of these peoples has been enhanced greatly. Moreover, the results of his research usually were published in a timely manner, and the notes and detailed drawings form an important corpus of data that is as useful today as it was 50 years ago. Ben’s book *The Tainos: Rise and Decline of the People Who Greeted Columbus* (1992) has been hugely popular and widely read, and introduced the archaeology of this region to numerous people who otherwise might not be interested.

BEN’S FAMILY

Ben was born in Rochester, New York, on August 29, 1913. His father, who also graduated from Yale, owned a nursery, and Ben grew up with an interest in plants. He began his career at Yale in 1930; he was 17 years old. His undergraduate work was in plant science, and he intended to go into forestry. As he describes it, he took the $500 his family gave him for school and put it in the bank. But this
was the year after the stock market collapse and the bank failed. Ben lost all his money. Faced with the need to fend for himself he took whatever job was available. At first this was mowing lawns and raking leaves on the Yale campus, but in time Cornelius Osgood developed a liking for Ben and put him to work cataloging anthropology collections in the Yale Peabody Museum. Osgood’s confidence in Ben started him on his path in anthropology, a path that led to an extraordinary 70-year career.

On June 24, 1939, Ben married Mary Mikami. Mary was herself an extraordinary person. She came from an aristocratic family in Japan, where her father was an accomplished naval officer. Her family moved to the United States in the early 1900s, and she was born in San Francisco in 1912. After moving to Alaska, she was involved in anthropology projects and studied at the University of Alaska, where she met Froelich Rainey, who convinced her to pursue a Ph.D. at Yale. There she met and married Ben. They had two sons, David and Peter. David became an urban landscape architect in Philadelphia, following in the family tradition. Peter was the chief of staff to Tom Daschle and currently is the chief of staff for Barack Obama in the U.S. Senate. Mary Mikami was Ben’s lifelong companion, and at times collaborator, until her death at the age of 87 on August 7, 1999. Her passing was memorialized in the U.S. Senate (Congressional Record, 1999).

**BEN AND YALE**

Working 20 hours a week and studying full time through the lean years of the Great Depression, Ben completed his B.S. in plant science at Yale’s Sheffield Scientific School in 1934. This was a very prestigious accomplishment. However, by his junior year Ben had decided that he did not want to be a forester. Plant science, in his opinion, was a “mature
field of study,” and he became fascinated with the fledgling field of anthropology through his work on anthropological collections in the Yale Peabody Museum. At Osgood’s urging he began to take graduate classes in anthropology.

Ben completed his Ph.D. in 1938. It was later published by Yale University Publications in Anthropology in two parts. The first dealt with methods of analysis entitled Prehistory in Haiti: A Study in Method (1939); the second focused on the application of these methods and was called Culture of the Ft. Liberté Region, Haiti (1941). After completing his Ph.D., Ben was hired by the Peabody Museum of Natural History as an assistant curator; he was promoted to associate curator in 1947 and research associate in 1954. He was instructor in anthropology from 1939 to 1943, became an assistant professor in 1943, associate professor in 1948, professor in 1954, and MacCurdy professor in 1970. During his years at Yale, he served in a number of administrative capacities, including director of graduate studies (1953-1957, 1969-1972), department chair (1957-1963), director of undergraduate studies (1967-1970), and chair of the interdisciplinary archaeology program beginning in 1970.

Ben was the Charles J. MacCurdy Professor of Anthropology and curator of anthropology when he officially retired in 1984, but he never stopped working. A most admirable quality was his dedication to getting his archaeological research into press. His investigations at the Hacienda Grande site were published in 1990, and despite the fact he completed the fieldwork in Antigua in 1973, he pushed on to publish the results of this work (1999).

**MAJOR CONTRIBUTION: TIME-SPACE SYSTEMATICS**

My main recollection of graduate school was that the professors had diverse conflicting points of view. As an undergraduate I had been led to believe that there was a right way of doing things and all I had to do was learn what
it was. It bothered me at the time, but when I look back I think it was very good for me. It forced me to develop my own viewpoint and to be open to other points of view. One of the major influences on my thinking was the linguistic method of analysis (Siegel, 1996, p. 672).

This quote is the perfect summation of Ben’s perspective. He really believed that there was one right way to do things. Starting from a strong background in taxonomy and influenced by linguistics, he sought to develop a method of classification that could be applied universally. He believed that classification was knowledge: If you could identify cultures and place them in the appropriate boxes of time and space, you would produce a complete culture history. Ben never liked the messiness of anthropology.

His contributions to classification are legion. He was a major player in the debates concerning archaeological taxonomy. He developed a unique scheme for classifying archaeological materials based on modal analysis. His approach was first published in 1939 in a publication that remains a classic work that is as relevant today as it was 70 years ago (Prehistory in Haiti: A Study in Method). Even though his scheme was never widely adopted, Willey and Sabloff (1974) in their book A History of American Archaeology recognized his contributions by placing him at the base of the tree from which modern American archaeology developed. Through the years Ben revised his time-space diagram for Caribbean cultures with the belief that every refinement moved us that much closer to understanding the past. It has formed the foundation for Caribbean culture history for over 50 years.

Ben took a sabbatical in England in 1963-1964. He received a Guggenheim Foundation Fellowship and was hosted by the Institute of Archaeology at the University of London. He expressed gratitude to the Guggenheim Foundation for suggesting that he go to Europe to expand his horizons: “I am particularly grateful to the Guggenheim selection com-
mittee for recognizing my parochialism and insisting that I go to Europe to correct it” (1972, p. xvii). At the time, he was working on three books. The first, *Introduction to Prehistory: A Systematic Approach* (1972), dealt with the methods of prehistory. The other two were to deal with aspects of world prehistory. This book clearly illustrates Ben’s interest in a “linguistic approach.” It is essentially a lexicon and grammar for describing archaeological materials and their relationships with regard to the identification of “peoples and cultures” from an admittedly “normative” perspective.

Yet Ben never moved beyond his undergraduate belief that there was only one correct way to study the past. He commented, “As I look back, I’m impressed by the fact that archaeology in the 1960s had reached the same state of maturity in classification that biology had reached when I was an undergraduate” (Siegel, 1996, p. 672). He goes on to say, “Just before the revolution in archaeology took place, archaeologists had very high prestige in the discipline of anthropology because we knew what we wanted to do. Then Binford and his generation destroyed all that” (Siegel, 1996, p. 677).

Ben may have claimed to be “open to other points of view,” but he really was not. He ruled the Caribbean with an iron fist for many years and if your grant proposal or peer-reviewed article did not fit with his approach (and he seems to have reviewed them all), they were not funded or published. We had a particularly nasty exchange in the late 1980s. *American Anthropologist* asked him to submit a paper on the origins of the Taínos. No one would review it. As a naïve young assistant curator, I accepted the challenge and wrote a scathing review. The other reviewers must have done the same because the article was rejected. Ben was furious! When he learned that I had been a reviewer, he told me that the only reason I had a job doing Caribbean archaeology
was because I had “snuck in through the Bahamas.” I know of a similar exchange during fieldwork in Antigua in 1974, during which he told his graduate student to just do the best job he could. When he found out that this student was applying the theories and methods of the “New Archaeology,” Rouse was outraged. I relate these stories not to chastise Ben. He was always a gracious host and gentleman when I visited him at Yale. But it is important to recognize that he had a very particular mindset, and that he spent his career trying to develop the one correct way of doing archaeology. He set himself an impossible task.

ROUSE IN THE CARIBBEAN

Professor Cornelius Osgood arrived at Yale in 1930. Following the lead of Franz Boas, Osgood was interested in developing a comprehensive and systematic program of regional study, and with private backing he initiated the Caribbean Anthropological Program (CAP) in 1933 with the assistance of Froelich Rainey. The program included social anthropology as well as archaeology, and Professor Sidney Mintz was an early participant. The program never had substantial funding, but it encouraged interest in an area that previously had been neglected.

It is not clear why the Caribbean was chosen. Rouse (Siegel, 1996, p. 682) suggests that Charlotte Gower, a fellow graduate student at the University of Chicago, influenced Osgood’s choice of area. Gower wrote her dissertation on the West Indies and considered possible connections between the Caribbean and Florida (Gower, 1927). It is perhaps for this reason that Florida originally was included in the CAP. In fact, Florida became something of a refuge for the Caribbean program. As Ben recounts, “After World War II it was difficult to get back into the West Indies. Transportation patterns hadn’t been reestablished. I
wanted to go into the field, so Osgood suggested that I work in Florida. At the same time John Goggin came to Yale as a graduate student” (Siegel, 1996, p. 682). But the interest in Florida soon waned; “after realizing that there was really little relationship between Florida and the West Indies, we dropped Florida from the program” (Siegel, 1996, p. 682).

Private sponsorship provided Yale graduate student Froelich Rainey the opportunity to sail through the Bahamas in search of archaeological sites in 1933. Rainey failed to find anything of significance in the Bahamas (but see Keegan, 1992), and so he and his patron turned to Haiti. One of CAP’s first projects was an archaeological investigation of Haiti. As mentioned, Osgood took an immediate liking to the young and industrious Ben Rouse and put him to work cataloging anthropological collections in the Peabody Museum, and encouraged him to pursue graduate studies in anthropology. Ben was sent to Haiti with Rainey in 1934, and these investigations formed the basis for his dissertation (1938).

Reading between the lines, there seems to have been some tension between Rouse and Rainey. In his memoir, *Reflections of a Digger: Fifty years of Archaeology*, Rainey (1992) devotes a chapter to his experiences in the Caribbean. He comments that all of the ideas he proposed for the islands had been overturned, but goes on to say that some people still think he was right. Of course it was Rouse who rejected Rainey’s ideas. Rainey (1992, p. 43) reminisces that years later when introducing Ben at a lecture: “My clearest memory of him was sitting in a small Haitian jail with me, while the local police chief and his men dug out a large mound at Meillac in north Haiti, where they thought we were digging for pirate treasure. Perhaps that was a good beginning for a very serious and very academic sort of youngster.” Rainey left the Caribbean in 1935 to conduct research in Alaska, and Ben took over.
Ben next worked in Puerto Rico in 1936, 1937, and 1938 as part of the Scientific Survey of Porto Rico and the Virgin Islands sponsored by the New York Academy of Sciences. This was a landmark program combining investigations by numerous scientists investigating all aspects of the environment and archaeology on the island. Rainey had conducted surveys and excavations in Puerto Rico in 1934 under the direction of the Peabody Museum. Ben followed up on Rainey’s (1940) work, and their differences in interpretation are clear and evident. Whereas Rainey believed that the different cultural assemblages that he identified reflected different migrations, Ben believed that they were part of a single line of development. These differences contributed to Ben’s lifelong focus on the meaning and identification of human migrations (1986). Ben objected to the general application of the European Conquest Model to explain all migrations, and he demonstrated that one model cannot possibly fit all cases (Drew, 2006).

Between May and September 1941, Ben and Osgood conducted research in Cuba that was sponsored by the Institute of Andean Research. Osgood (1942) focused on the Archaic cultures (Ciboney), while Rouse investigated what would become known as the Ceramic age. He recalls,

“Sr. Orencio Miguel Alonso...took me to most of the sites I visited in the municipalities of Banes and Antilla. His automobile, ‘Drácula,’ proved indispensable in this work, for it could go all places where mine could not. My excavations were carried out by the Boy Scouts of the Banes troop under his direction” (1942, p. 6).

Ben studied Caribbean collections in European museums in 1939. The most significant of these, in his opinion, was J. A. Bullbrook’s collection from Trinidad, which was housed in the British Museum. Bullbrook had written a detailed accounting of his work and finds in 1919, but these had never been published. Rouse (1953) recognized the significance
of this work because it provided a detailed accounting of stratigraphic relationships “sixteen years before Rainey’s pioneer stratigraphic research in Puerto Rico.” Ben spent 10 weeks in Trinidad in the summer of 1946, collaborating with Bullbrook, and editing his manuscript (Bullbrook, 1953). He did additional work on the island with John Goggin in 1953. Excavations at the sites of Cedros and Ortoire would become the type-sites for the Cedrosan Saladoid and Ortoiroid series of Caribbean peoples and cultures. These established an eastern South American origin for the Ceramic and Archaic ages, respectively. He returned to Trinidad with Fred Olsen in 1969 and collected samples for radiocarbon dating.

Osgood and George Howard conducted preliminary surveys of Venezuela and Trinidad in 1941. They were followed a year later by José María Cruxent, who recently had moved to Venezuela from Barcelona, Spain, during the Spanish Civil War. Cruxent would spend the next 16 years investigating Venezuelan prehistory. Ben worked with Cruxent in 1946, 1950, 1955, and 1956-1957. Here, on the banks of the Orinoco River, Ben found the evidence he needed to challenge Julian Steward’s circum-Caribbean theory (see below).

Beginning in the 1950s, a variety of the new investigations were conducted by his students: Robert Howard in Jamaica (1950), Marshall McKusick in St. Lucia (1960), Paul Gene Hahn in Cuba (1961), and Louis Allaire in Martinique (1977). What is surprising is that only Allaire, of all of his students, continued to conduct research in the Caribbean after completing their dissertations.

Ben used the results of his students and other investigators to fill in the gaps in his chart. All of these excavations contributed to Ben’s increasingly detailed diagrams of the “peoples and cultures” of the Caribbean. Beginning with the classification techniques first developed in his dissertation, he plotted the distributions of like materials in time and space
(with space on the x axis and time on the y axis). A major breakthrough came in the 1950s with the development of radiocarbon dating. Ben received a National Sciences Foundation grant in 1963 to obtain the first radiocarbon dates for the region. Minze Stuiver analyzed a total of 31 samples from Venezuela, Guadeloupe, and Puerto Rico at the Yale Geochronology Lab. More dates were obtained in succeeding years, and Ben was then able to refine the chronology for the region (1978).

Ben’s final major field project was conducted in the summer of 1973 on Antigua. Fred Olsen, who developed a method for safely packing high explosives and was head of explosives and ammunition research for the U.S. Army until 1929, had built a winter home on Antigua in 1954. Olsen was an avid amateur archaeologist and began excavating a site at Mill Reef. He invited Ben to Antigua to help them learn to excavate properly. Ben accepted the invitation and spent 10 days helping the “Mill Reef Diggers” in 1956. As Olsen (1974a, p. 26) recounts, Ben “immediately captivated Mill Reef with his modesty, consideration, and patience.”

Disappointed that the Mill Reef site was small and the artifacts relatively unspectacular, Olsen continued his explorations of archaeological sites on the island. With the discovery of the Indian Creek site he believed that he finally had a spectacular site, and Ben was again invited to the island to conduct systematic excavations. It is my understanding that Ben did not want to do the project, and after an initial visit to the site in 1969, he held out for several more years. However, by this time Olsen had amassed a fabulous collection of pre-Columbian artifacts and Yale was cultivating him for a major donation (George Kubler wrote the foreword to Olsen’s book [1974a]).

Ben eventually relented and in May 1973 he and graduate student Dave D. Davis went to Antigua. Davis did the initial
survey of Indian Creek and then directed the excavation of Archaic sites (Davis, 2000), while Rouse with local help excavated Indian Creek (1999). During the excavation of the final trench (trench 7) in June, Ben suffered a heart attack and spent the next five weeks in the hospital (Olsen, 1974b). Despite his reluctance to participate in this project, the results from Indian Creek and Jolly Beach served to define the culture history of the northern Lesser Antilles. A second important outcome of this work was the encouragement he gave to Desmond Nicholson. Nicholson, a long-time resident of Antigua, had a more archaeological focus than did Olsen (who seems to have been more interested in artifacts). Desmond went on to found the Museum of Antigua and Barbuda, and was the driving force behind archaeological investigations on the island for decades.

Although he never directed another research project, over the years Ben visited numerous ongoing excavations. Young researchers especially sought Ben’s sage advice and wisdom. For example, Shaun Sullivan brought Ben to Middle Caicos (Turks & Caicos Islands) in 1977. Sullivan (1981) had discovered the first “ball court” in the Bahama archipelago at site MC-6. Given this unique discovery, Sullivan sought Ben’s opinion. Getting to MC-6 required following a treacherous 3.5-km-long trail. When Ben fell on the trail, Sullivan feared that he had killed him!

We need also to consider something of an enigma. The International Association for Caribbean Archaeology, albeit known by different names at different times, has been the primary forum for Caribbeanists for the past 45 years. Typically, the Congress meets every other year on a different Caribbean island. Ben attended what was then called the First International Convention for the Study of pre-Columbian Culture in the Lesser Antilles held at Fort-de-France, Martinique, in July 1961. Father Robert Pinchon organized this convention,
and the debates were so contentious I have been told that distribution of the publication was suppressed. Proceedings of the business meeting for the second “congress” indicate that Pinchon had asked Ben, Ripley Bullen, and William Haag to serve as an advisory committee. The second congress was supposed to be organized by Thomas J. Maxwell in Puerto Rico in 1963, but Maxwell left Puerto Rico before the meeting came to fruition. Ripley Bullen picked up the slack and with Neville Connell, director of the Barbados Museum, the second congress was held in Barbados in 1967. Bullen was named the permanent chair, and he organized biennial meetings and published congress proceedings until his death in 1977.

What is surprising is that Rouse, despite his status as a founder of Caribbean archaeology, did not support this organization. He did not attend the second meeting in Barbados (in 1967), the third in Grenada (in 1969), the fourth in St. Lucia (in 1971), and although he published a paper in the proceedings of the fifth congress (in Antigua in 1973) he was not in attendance, probably due to his heart attack just prior to the congress. He did attend the sixth congress in Guadeloupe in 1975 but not the seventh or eighth. Despite this apparent lack of interest in the organization, he was recognized for his contributions to Caribbean archaeology, along with Jacques Petitjean Roget, at the 16th congress in Guadeloupe (in 1995). Why did the “father” of Caribbean archaeology not participate on a more regular basis in meetings of Caribbean archaeologists?

I do not know the answer, but I can offer speculations. At the first convention Father Pinchon, an amateur archaeologist, was brutal in his questioning of Ben’s interpretations. The permanent chair of subsequent congresses was Ripley Bullen, who did not have a Ph.D. and who developed a different concept of ceramic “series.” The congress also had a
more amateur feel to it, especially in the early years. Moreover, archaeologists from Hispanic countries, notably Mario Sanoja and Iraida Vargas in Venezuela and Marcio Veloz Maggiolo in the Dominican Republic were pursuing Marxist explanations for cultural developments in the Caribbean (modo de vida). French archaeologists were pursuing their own agenda. Clifford Evans and Betty Meggers continued to promote Julian Steward’s notion of a Formative that derived from migrations out of Andean South America (circum-Caribbean chiefdoms). As mentioned earlier, Rouse believed that there was one correct way to do archaeology. At Caribbean congresses he would have had to confront a diversity of approaches and a chaotic view of archaeology. I suspect he believed that the effort was not worth his time.

We also need to recognize Ben’s contributions to Connecticut archaeology. “I did local archaeology. Quite a bit of it. I was 16 years old when I became involved with the ASC” (Drew, 2006). The Archaeological Society of Connecticut (ASC) was officially founded in 1934 with the goal of training archaeologists to complete the archaeological survey of the state. Osgood was the first president. Ben was the first secretary-treasurer, then secretary, and he was editor of the ASC Bulletin. In the late 1950s it was decided that the University of Connecticut at Storrs would handle local archaeology while Yale would focus on national and international projects. By this time Ben had already moved on, and was more focused on his interests in world archaeology. However, in 1984 he collaborated with Lucianne Lavin to rehabilitate the Peabody Museum’s aging exhibits on Native Americans with a special focus on the archaeology of Connecticut.

DEFINING MOMENT: HANDBOOK OF SOUTH AMERICAN INDIANS

A defining moment in Ben’s career was his participation in the *Handbook of South American Indians* in the mid 1940s.
He contributed chapters on the (Island) Arawak (now Taínos) and (Island) Carib. These chapters drew heavily on the accounts of European chroniclers, and served as the main source of information about these cultures for years (1948).

Julian Steward edited this seven-volume compendium and introduced the concept of sociocultural levels of integration to organize the volumes. Steward classified South American Indians into Marginal Tribes, Tropical Forest Cultures, Circum-Caribbean Chiefdoms, and Andean States; a slight variation on the more general classification of cultures into bands, tribes, chiefdoms, and states. The Island Arawaks were grouped with the Circum-Caribbean Chiefdoms, which Steward proposed were derived from the expansion of complex societies from the Andes along the Caribbean littoral and out into the islands. Rouse disagreed. He proposed instead that the native peoples of the Caribbean had originated in lowland South America along the banks of the Amazon and Orinoco rivers. After migrating downriver to the northeastern coast of Venezuela and the Guianas (Orinoco Delta) they then migrated into the Caribbean islands (1953). Ben believed that the Caribbean was colonized by four discrete migrations. These occurred during the Lithic, Archaic, Ceramic, and Historic Ages. After every migration the borders were hermetically sealed such that new migrations were not accepted.

Other archaeologists in the region viewed every new pottery series as reflecting a separate migration of peoples from South America. Rouse has remained adamant that there was a single Ceramic Age migration called Saladoid that was followed by the local development (in Puerto Rico) of a new series called Ostionoid. To emphasize this point he adopted the concept of subseries that was first proposed by Gary Vescelius, the territorial archaeologist for the U.S.
Virgin Islands. Ben regrouped his earlier series into subseries leaving only the initial Saladoid and subsequent Ostionoid as full series. This modification eliminated the possibility of multiple migrations, and cut off discussions of outside, circum-Caribbean influences in the region. As he noted, “My efforts have been largely devoted to trying to counteract the assumption that everything had to come in from the outside” (Siegel, 1996, p. 682). He did accept that there were outside influences, but he maintained a belief in the uniqueness of Caribbean cultures.

**FINAL THOUGHTS**

I first met Ben at the Second Bahamas Conference on Archaeology in 1978, but recall an interesting exchange during the Third Bahamas Conference held on San Salvador, Bahamas, in 1982. John Winter presented a paper on a study using neutron activation to characterize pottery from Cuba and the Bahamas. He concluded that similarities in their signatures indicated that Bahamian pottery (Palmetto ware) must have developed from a Cuban tradition. In the discussion that followed I argued that without any dates it was impossible to identify a Cuban source for Bahamian pottery (i.e., when did the spread of pottery from Cuba occur?). During the break, Ben came up to me and said, “You don’t think much of pottery analysis, do you?” How could I, a new M.A., respond to this great figure in Caribbean archaeology who at that time had spent almost 50 years studying pottery in the Caribbean? I said, “It is not the study of pottery I object to, it is the use of incomplete evidence to justify this particular conclusion.”

Over the years I and many others visited Ben’s lab at Yale on numerous occasions. He was always a gracious host, and incredibly generous with his time and resources. He had the most incredible collection of articles and papers on Carib-
bean archaeology, and an encyclopedic knowledge of who wrote what and when. When I last saw him I was studying Ostionan pottery, and he offered me his cards describing modes for this subseries in Puerto Rico (in the days before computers Ben would list different modes for a style or series on separate 3×5 cards). Foolishly I did not accept his offer, but hopefully the cards are still on file at Yale.

Ben Rouse is rightfully recognized as the *doyen* of Caribbean archaeology. But it would be wrong to view him simply as a Caribbeanist. His contributions and influence extend far beyond this region. He was a pioneer in what later would be called the Classificatory-Historical Period in American archaeology. His contributions to classification as a tool in archaeology are recognized widely. Furthermore, he had a great interest in world archaeology, a subject he taught at Yale beginning in the 1960s. Ben distinguished between archaeologists (methodological technicians) and prehistorians (those who wrote the past). He was always a prehistorian, and took a broad and synthetic view of the peoples and cultures that lived in the past. He has left a lasting imprint on the Caribbean region in particular and American archaeology in general.

**CHRONOLOGY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Born August 29 in Rochester, New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930-1934</td>
<td>Attended Sheffield Scientific School, Yale University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>as an undergraduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934-38</td>
<td>Attended graduate school, Yale University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934-1938</td>
<td>Secretary-treasurer, Archaeological Society of Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1935</td>
<td>Fieldwork in Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1936-1938</td>
<td>Fieldwork in Puerto Rico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1938-1950</td>
<td>Editor, Bulletin of the Archaeological Society of Connecticut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>June 24, married Mary Mikami</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939</td>
<td>Carnegie Foundation grant to study in European museums</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1941  Fieldwork in Cuba
1944  Fieldwork in Florida
1944-1947  Coeditor, Yale University Publications in Anthropology
1946-1950  President, Eastern States Archaeological Federation
1946-1950  Editor, American Antiquity
1946-1957  Fieldwork in Venezuela
1946, 1953  Fieldwork in Trinidad
1948-1960  Member, Executive Board, Florida Anthropological Society
1950-1953  Member, Executive Board, American Anthropological Association
1952-1953  President, Society for American Archaeology
1950-1963  Editor, Yale University Publications in Anthropology
1957-1958  Vice president, American Ethnological Society
1960-1962  Associate editor, American Anthropologist
1963-1964  Guggenheim fellow, Institute of Archaeology, University of London
1967-1968  President, American Anthropological Association
1968-1969  Acting editor, Yale University Publications in Anthropology
1973  Fieldwork in Antigua
1977  Oppenheimer visiting associate, University of Cape Town
1977-1979  President, Association for Field Archaeology
1984  Retired from Yale University
2006  Died February 4 in New Haven, Connecticut
AWARDS AND HONORS

1948  A. Cressy Morrison Prize for the monograph *Porto Rican Prehistory*
1951  Elected to the New York Academy of Sciences
1954  Medalla Commemorativa del Vuelo Panamericano pro Faro a Colón, awarded by the Cuban government
1960  Viking Fund Medal and Award in Anthropology
1962  Elected to the National Academy of Sciences
1963-1964 Guggenheim fellow
1984  Distinguished Service Award, American Anthropological Association
1985  Fiftieth Anniversary Award, Society for American Archaeology
1995  Distinguished Service Award, International Association for Caribbean Archaeology

PROFESSIONAL RECORD

1934  B.S., Yale University
1938  Ph.D., Yale University
1938  Named assistant curator of anthropology, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University
1939  Named instructor in the Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1943  Promoted to assistant professor, Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1947  Promoted to associate curator, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University
1948  Promoted to associate professor, Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1954  Promoted to full professor, Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1954  Named research associate, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University
1957-63 Chair, Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1970  Named Charles J. MacCurdy Professor of Anthropology, Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1975  Named research affiliate, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University
1977  Named curator of anthropology, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University
1984  Named Professor Emeritus, Department of Anthropology, Yale University
1984  Named Curator Emeritus, Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University

MEMBERSHIPS

American Academy of Arts and Sciences
American Anthropological Association
American Council of Learned Societies
American Ethnological Society
Archaeological Society of Connecticut
Association for Field Archaeology
Connecticut Academy of Science and Engineering
Eastern States Archaeological Federation
Florida Anthropological Society
Gesell Institute of Child Development
Society for American Archaeology
Society of Professional Archaeologists
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