BIOGRAPHICAL MEMOIR

of

JOHN EDWARDS HOLBROOK.

1794-1871.

ΒY

THEODORE GILL.

Read before the National Academy of Sciences, April 22, 1903.

(4)

47

.



· · · ·

,

.

my bear mother I have only one mentete to say faremele being on board the boner for Sime pool from whence I will write you. my plan is to return in the Borton packet of deftember moren please good I wile see you again - by write goes with me as we have no family to schenote us_ in the mean time I send you the "tourner" which contains an engraving dand by every body to resemble her - of which you can judge in the Fall of the year - some to family and got blep you all you son 29.Hollrook Ship Dover

BIOGRAPHICAL MEMOIR OF JOHN EDWARDS HOLBROOK.

Of the early students in America of the animal kingdom, none was more disinterested in pursuit of knowledge or spent more liberally of his means than JOHN EDWARDS HOLBROOK. His attention was early directed to herpetology, and he devoted time and fortune to the cultivation of that science and to the description and pictorial delineation of the reptiles and amphibians of the United States. After he had finished his labors in that branch he transferred his attention to the sister science of ichthyology, and carried over to it the same desire for perfection and the same high ambition. He hoped to produce a work on fishes that would compare favorably with that on reptiles. Adverse circumstances, however, prevented the completion of his scheme. Under what circumstances he worked we may learn from various sources. There is a pathetic interest in the tale of his endeavors.

I.

Holbrook was of direct New England origin and of a remote English ancestry. His father and mother were respectively Silas and Mary Holbrook, and his middle name involves a reminiscence of his maternal relations. His grandfather was Daniel Holbrook, and farther back in time appears the name of the first known ancestor in New England—Thomas Holbrook. His father's early home was Wrentham, a town in Massachusetts about 27 miles from Boston and 18 from Providence; but he married Mary Edwards, of Beaufort, South Carolina, and had a residence at the latter place, spending much of his time there after his marriage. The place of Holbrook's birth was Beaufort. as was also that of his brother. According to the best authorities, he was born December 30, 1794. The obituaries published in Harper's Weekly, The American Journal of Science, the original accounts in Appletons' Cyclopædia of American Biography, and Lamb's Dictionary, and that by Prof. Louis Agassiz, all concur in giving this date. Nevertheless, in the notice in Allibone's Critical Dictionary of English Literature 1795 is specified, and in

the special memoir by his friend and colleague of many years, Dr. T. L. Ogier, 1796 (the year of a brother's birth) is given.

When a year and a half old, the infant was taken by his parents to Wrentham. In that town he spent his childhood, and there he was taught the elements of knowledge. In due time he was sent to the near city of Providence, and went through the regular collegiate course of Brown College, graduating and receiving the degree of A. B. in 1815. He then went to Philadelphia and entered upon a routine of medical study in the University of Pennsylvania, and, after a course of three years, in 1818 received the degree of M. D. Soon afterward he went to Boston, and made a brief trial of practice with a medical friend in that city, but before long came to the determination to become still better grounded in his profession and to see more of the world. He therefore proceeded first to London and Edinburgh, and continued his medical studies in the Scottish capital for a couple of years. Afterward he proceeded to the continent, and spent about two more years in travel through France, Germany, and England, and profited by a sojourn of several months in Paris, enjoying intercourse with some of the eminent naturalists that then glorified France. Among them were Valenciennes and Duméril, the former of whom was collaborating with Cuvier in his great work on fishes, and the latter was even then preparing for his extensive work on reptiles. Professor Agassiz has expressed the opinion that "perhaps nothing in all his European journey had greater influence upon his future life than his stay in Paris, where he worked at the Jardin des Plantes, and became intimate with some of the leading scientific men of the day. He formed relations then which ended only with his life, such as his friendship with Valenciennes, with Duméril, Bibron, and others." Doubtless from them he imbibed the taste for herpetology and ichthyology and the methods of study which he later adopted.

In 1822, the young man returned to his own country and soon settled at Charleston, where he became a candidate for the practice of his profession. He was now twenty-eight years old. When he reached the age of thirty (in 1824) he coöperated with some of the leading physicians of his adopted city in the organization of "The Medical College of South Carolina" and was elected professor of anatomy. This chair he was destined to

hold for over thirty years. His carriage in this situation and his personal character may be best given in the words of an early student, later a colleague in the practice of medicine as well as in the college, and a long-time friend, Dr. T. L. Ogier.

"In 1827 Dr. Holbrook married Miss Harriott Pinckney Rutledge, of South Carolina, one of the most talented and gifted women that ever gave happiness to a family or ornamented society. With a highly cultivated mind and good taste, she encouraged and assisted the Doctor in his scientific pursuits. Never were two persons better suited to each other, and never was there a happier home than theirs. Like her husband, she possessed the rare faculty of attaching warmly to her all who were brought under her influence; and her position in society, which at that time in Charleston was as refined as in any part of the world, was as enviable as the Doctor's was in his profession. Who that has ever partaken of the hospitalities of Belmont can ever forget the refinement, brilliancy, and kindness of its accomplished hostess? The calamities of the war and the death of many loved friends affected seriously her health. Her nervous system completely broke down, and she died after a short illness in Columbia, November, 1863. Having no family herself, she devoted much of her time in superintending the education of her nieces, who all retain vividly the signet stamped upon them by this refined and accomplished woman.

"Dr. Holbrook, as a practitioner, was very popular. He had the peculiar faculty of attaching warmly to him all who were brought in contact with him. There was something in his manner which was irresistible; hence his patients felt the most entire confidence in his ability to relieve their sufferings; and we all know how much this condition of the patient's mind contributes in many cases to the success of the treatment. The Doctor had some peculiarities or eccentricities, but these could be easily explained; for instance, his dislike to attend obstetric cases, or to perform any painful surgical operation. He never attended an accouchement in the whole course of his practice; and with his accurate knowledge of anatomy, he never operated if he could get any one else to do it in whom he had confidence. He would advise the operation to be performed, speak of all the details, and often assist until the operation was under way, and then quietly withdraw himself until it was over, when he would

again appear, say something cheerful to the patient, and attend him afterwards with the greatest kindness and efficiency. We believe this was entirely owing to his great dislike to seeing persons suffer pain. It seemed to distress him often quite as much as it did the patient. This feeling no doubt also prevented his ever practicing midwifery, notwithstanding the many solicitations he had to do so. His manner in a sick room was gentle and kind; but, to those who did not know him well, sometimes seemed abrupt; for instance, where a patient was suffering from nausea and seemed likely to vomit the medicine he had just administered, he frequently, without any notice and regardless of bed-clothes, would throw half a tumblerful of water in his face and say, 'Take that, and do not say anything more about throwing up.' The patient would be momentarily startled, and protest against such treatment; but the nausea would pass off, the medicine be retained, and his temporary wrath against the Doctor would be changed to the feeling of gratitude and confidence. One of his eccentricities was followed by a remarkable result. A young waitingman about the house was very liable to fainting fits. In brushing flies at the table he would often exclaim, 'I am going to faint,' and would, if not assisted, fall down in a swoon. The Doctor one day, whilst dissecting the digestive apparatus of a young alligator, called this boy to hold the parts for him, so as to keep the fibers stretched. Just as the Doctor was most interested in tracing some minute muscular fibers, the boy cried out, 'I am going to faint,' and altered the position of his hand, and thus interrupted the dissection at a most important point. The Doctor immediately gave him a sharp slap on the side of his head, saying, 'Well, go faint then, and come back quickly.' The boy did as he was bid, and never fainted after this. He said he 'was cured by holding the alligator.'

"As a lecturer on anatomy, Dr. Holbrook possessed qualities which were never surpassed or very seldom equaled. With a thorough knowledge of the structure of the human body and a peculiar talent for description, he brought his knowledge of comparative anatomy to enforce and enlighten his demonstrations, which made his lectures not only instructive, but most delightful to listen to. The advanced student felt that he had been taught something beyond what his books had taught him, and

the beginner that he had entered upon the study of the most wonderful and beautiful work of God; that he must bring his whole soul into his work; that it would be a crime to be a mere smatterer in this divine science, and worse to pervert it to any other than its intended purpose, the promotion of the happiness of mankind and the benefit of creation. Some of his lectures, particularly those on the brain, were not only highly instructive, but were beautiful sermons, demonstrating by correct diagrams and specimens the nervous system in the lower order of animals, from the acephalous up to the vertebrated, showing how parts were gradually added, according to the necessities of the animals, and finally to man, with the development of his great anterior cerebral lobes, not to perfect his physical qualities, but to enable him, unlike the other animals, to contemplate the works of the Creator, and to look from Nature up to Nature's God."

In other respects, he was, in the language of another friend, "a careless man who never took care of anything," and indeed "he was a type of the *poco curante*," but "was liked by every one and regarded as very able in many departments of research."

II.

When Holbrook undertook the labor of monographing the reptiles of the United States, there was no one work to which reference could be made for information as to all the species. The nearest approach to it was a summary of the "Genera of North American Reptilia, and a Synopsis of the Species," by Richard Harlan, in the fifth and sixth volumes of the Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia (1826-'27). This itself furnishes excellent testimony to the desirability of a revision of the herpetology of the region in question. Most of the familiar forms of the Eastern and Middle States as well as South Carolina had indeed been described, but the descriptions and figures were in publications inaccessible to the ordinary reader. Many of the common reptiles had been early described by European zoölogists, and most of all by Linnæus, but a large proportion remained for incorporation in or rejection from the systems by native herpetologists. Say and Harlan had made known some; Green (1817 et seq.) had described the

salamanders, and Leconte (1829) had monographed the turtles in a way; but much yet remained to be done in the examination of the many doubtful species and the incorporation of all the known forms in one comprehensive work. It was to this task that Holbrook applied himself.

Linnæus had entered about 30 species in the system; other European naturalists had named about 34 which are still recognized; previous American zoölogists had added nearly as many more (about 60) as the European. By the time that Holbrook had finished his work he had named 29 as new, and a very large proportion of these are still retained with his specific names, few having proved to be synonyms.

Holbrook must have outlined his work in herpetology, at least. soon after his settlement in Charleston and after he had entered upon the duties of his professorship. He engaged as an artist, for the representation of the reptiles, an Italian immigrant named J. Sera, as early as 1826, and retained him in his service till the man's death; but Holbrook gave no evidence of his activity till his work, in part at least, was ready for the press, not long before the artist's death, ten years later. Dr. Ogier has remarked that "this excellent artist had a particular fancy for drawing reptiles. We have often heard him say that he could never be satisfied with his work unless he gave 'the particular expression of his subject.' He was as enthusiastic about giving the ' peculiarly hard, cruel expression of the alligator's eye,' or 'the bright, deceitful look of the eye of the black snake,' as if his subjects belonged to the highest order of creation; and his drawings are indeed fac similes of the animals he intended to represent and monuments of his talent."

He had early, however, invoked the aid of various friends in different sections of the United States. His own endeavors in South Carolina were seconded by those of Dr. Ogier, Dr. Wurdeman, Dr. Baron, and Dr. Ravenel. In Massachusetts his own collecting was supplemented by that of Dr. Amos Binney and Dr. D. Humphreys Storer, of Boston. The reptiles of New York were collected by Messrs. Charles Hammond, Ogden Hammond, and Wilkens. Others that came to his aid were Dr. Geddings, of Baltimore; Dr. Harlan, of Philadelphia; Mr. T. L. Ogden, of Mobile, and Professor Troost, of Nashville. More general assistance was given by Major J. L. Leconte, then of New York, and.

"above all," by Dr. Charles Pickering, of Philadelphia, who aided "with his accurate knowledge at every step of the work." Later Dr. Harden, of Georgia; Drs. Morton, Hallowell, and Blanding and Professor Green, of Philadelphia, and Dr. Dekay, of New York, gave aid. Scanty material from remote regions (Oregon and Texas) was furnished by Thomas Nuttall and A. Gaillard.

The self-imposed task grew upon him. Even in the preface to the first edition (p, v) he remarked :

"In undertaking the present work I was not fully aware of the many difficulties attending it; indeed, they could scarcely have been anticipated. With an immense mass of materials, without libraries to refer to, and only defective museums for comparison, I have constantly been in fear of describing animals as new that have long been known to European naturalists. In no department of American zoölogy is there so much confusion as in herpetology."

He long intended to supplement the generic definitions by fuller anatomical characteristics; for instance, in a foot-note to the first-described genus (*Testudo*) he indicated that it was his intention "in a subsequent number" to "add the special anatomy of each genus, illustrated by drawings" (I, 41), and in the last volume (III, 27) he referred to "the anatomical part of this work for a full description of the genus "*Kinosternon*. He also evidently intended to extend his publication in the anatomical supplement beyond anatomical features, and to develop some generalities, as in the preface to the second volume (p. 7) he promised that "in the anatomical part of this work it will be shown why one generic name is preferred to another." His intentions, however, were never realized.

Holbrook would have liked to have put his work in regular systematic form, but his determination to have his subjects described and painted from life, for the time being, prevented a strict adherence to such a desirable plan. He was in fact obliged to take the specimens as they came; consequently, the descriptions and plates were very much scattered in the first edition of his work. Evidently, too, other considerations than mere possession influenced him, for the common box tortoise, which certainly could have been easily obtained at any time, was not described till the third volume appeared. Many of the

most common species, such as the alligator, the snapping turtles, the soft turtles, the glass snake, and numerous true snakes, were also left undescribed. He had apparently become dissatisfied with his own work and resolved to wait till he could procure as many of the species as possible, and then commence at the beginning again and issue a new edition in a systematic form.

For three years he had issued a volume nearly each year (1836–'38), but with the subjects irregularly presented, as will appear from the collation hereafter given. So particular was he as to proper coloration of the plates that, because in the first volume two were not colored from fresh specimens, he gave two others in substitution in an appendix to the second volume. Those were *Coluber erythrogrammus* (now *Abastor erythrogrammus*) and *Coluber abacurus* (now *Farancia abacura*). He further promised that whenever the coloring or attitude be faulty a duplicate will be added to the following volume.

The third volume being off his hands, Holbrook ceased publication for several years and devoted the time to the completion and systematization of his work. He accumulated all the materials he could, and put them all in as good systematic order as he was able. He adopted the classification then current, that which Duméril and Bibron had elaborated, and in this framework he introduced all the species inhabiting the United States, east and west, which he could obtain.

That classification, we now know, was a very artificial one, and by no means reflected nature, but for this Holbrook is not blamable. He was not a genius and had not access to large collections, and very naturally he followed the lead of those that had the use of what was then the greatest of all museums. He did the best he could under the circumstances, and that best was nearly, if not quite, equal to the best of what was done in Europe. The classification was based on very superficial characters, and it is possible that it was the contradiction which he observed between such features and the anatomical characters which his scalpel revealed that perplexed him and led to the abandonment of his intentions to give an anatomical supplement.

At last, in 1842, he had brought his work to such a form as was satisfactory enough to himself for publication, and he issued what was in fact an entirely new edition. This was the new "Herpetology of the United States," and was issued in complete form in five volumes. Much of the text had been modified and many of the plates of the first edition retouched, and the number increased by about 30 per cent. It was published with the following "publisher's note," signed "J. Dobson ":

" In consequence of the great number of new reptiles received by the author and the demand for the first three volumes, it became necessary either to reprint them or to make a new edition. The latter course has been preferred, thus enabling the author to introduce the new animals in their proper places and to add a number of new plates. It may be added that many of the plates have been reëngraved and improved."

The work thus completed embraced descriptions and illustrations of 147 nominal species, and few of them have proved to be other than real species in the present sense of the figure. Of these only 91 are now regarded a constituents of the restricted class of reptiles and 56 belong to the class of amphibians or batrachians. Comparatively few species have been added to the eastern fauna by subsequent gleaners in the old field, although among such are several quite common species—for instance, a tree-frog (*Hyla eviltata*), abundant and readily found in special localities in and near the District of Columbia, was not discriminated till 1899, when Mr. Gerritt S. Miller, Jr., first described it. On the other hand, Holbrook made known several which have been overlooked till very recent times, as the Salamandra quadrimaculata (Desmognathus quadrimaculata), rediscovered by Stejneger in 1902.

Fortunately for the truth of his claims, he terminated his work shortly before the acquisition of Texas and California by the United States, and consequently it represented approximately the fauna of the country then possessed by the nation. Soon were to be added regions inhabited by a richer reptilian population, especially of the order of Saurians, and his successors would be able to more than double the number of species inhabiting the enlarged United States.

The descriptions are moderately good and full, but often evince a want of appreciation, or skill in contrast, of characters. He unfortunately did not follow in the footsteps of his French exemplars, Duméril and Bibron, in presenting the species of

large genera in successively narrowed terms or dichotomously, and only gave, for ready comprehension, what he called "Characters," which he intended to be diagnostic, but which deficiency in skill sometimes prevented from being such. After the "Characters" followed the "Synonymes," then the "Description" (limited to external structural features), the "Colour," the "Dimensions," the "Habits," the "Geographical distribution," and, finally, "General remarks."

The illustrations were mostly fairly good, both for drawing and coloration, and quite equal to most of those published contemporaneously in Europe. The illustration was confined, however, to the bare animals, and no background (or ground to stand on) was ever represented, nor were any accessory figures illustrating details of structure furnished. Of the Tortoises, Saurians, and Batrachians, two figures were given on a single plate of each species, an upper or from a lateral aspect and a lower giving a view of the inferior surface, but both were more or less indirect or of the animal canted. Of the Snakes, a single figure suffices for each species; but the animal was twisted to give an idea of what might be seen from all points of view.

After the completion of the Herpetology, Dr. Holbrook and his wife paid a visit to Europe and Holbrook renewed acquaintance with some of the persons and scenes he knew in his youth. At the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle of Paris, according to Dr. Ogier, he "was received with open arms by Valenciennes and other naturalists in 'the Jardin des Plantes,'" and was invited "by those in charge of the museum" to identify or confirm the accuracy of previous identification of North American reptiles. Holbrook often spoke "of this as one of the greatest compliments paid to his knowledge of reptiles."

III.

The work on herpetology having been completed, and Holbrook having again become settled at home, he now devoted his energy to the preparation of a companion work on the fishes.

Systematic ichthyology, as generally understood, was then in the condition it was placed in 1829 by Cuvier in the second edition of the Règne Animal. A comparatively few large families were recognized, and the series was headed by the Perches.

Although the production of the first comparative anatomist of the age, it was distinguished by the prominence given to superficial characters and the neglect of deeper-seated ones, and especially of osteological peculiarities. The last volume—twenty_ second—of the great Histoire Naturelle des Poissons, begun by Cuvier and Valenciennes and continued by the last, was published in 1849, and Storer, in 1846, had compiled, chiefly from it, a poor "Synopsis of the Fishes of North America." Dekay, a few years previously (1842), had published the finely illustrated part on Fishes of his "New York Fauna," also adopting the Cuvierian system.

From the same point of view Holbrook commenced his work. He recognized that he could not cover so large a field as he had done for the reptiles, and instead of all the United States, he would limit his attention to the fishes of the "Southern States." With Richard as his artist, he brought out, in 1847, "number two" of a "Southern Ichthyology; or a Description of the Fishes Inhabiting the Waters of South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida." This bore the imprint of "New York and London: Wiley & Putnam, 1847." It contains 32 pages (1-32) and 4 plates, illustrating Umbrina alburnus (1, I, 1), U. littoralis (10, I, 2), Micropogon undulatus (12, II, 2), Corvina ocellata (17, II, 1), Leiostomus obliquus (21, III, 1), Lobotes surinamensis (25, III, 2), Elacate canada (30, IV, 1), and Ephippus gigas (IV, 2). It is announced on the cover of this second part that "No. 1, containing the anatomical portion of the work, will be published with No. VI," and in the "Notice" to the first edition of the work reviewed, it is affirmed that "two numbers were published under another title in 1845." The number noticed is, however, the only one with which we are acquainted.

The statement is made in the form of a "Notice," dated "November 10th, 1854," issued with the first number of the "Ichthyology of South Carolina," that "much of this work now offered to the public was printed several years since; indeed, two numbers were published under another title in 1845; some few pages have been reprinted and new matter added. So much it is necessary to say to account for the apparent negligence in not referring to late works on ichthyology."

It may be reasonably suspected that the "poco curante" habit of the author is responsible for a slight mistake here. Diligent

inquiry has failed to discover any other evidence of printing of any number but "number two." That number is recorded in "A Dictionary of Books Relating to America," by Joseph Sabin (VIII, 368), and no other. In the lapse of time the author may have assumed that, inasmuch as "number two" had been published, number one must also have been printed, and, trusting to an imperfect memory, that 1845 was the date rather than 1847. At any rate, until more evidence is furnished, we are almost, perforce, compelled to believe that "number two" was the only one of the "Southern Ichthyology" published.

When this part had been issued Holbrook must have made a survey of the field he wanted to cover; he must have formed some estimate of the number of West Indian fishes which could be found along the southern Floridian coast and realized how impossible it would be, under the circumstances, to realize his desire for describing and painting his subjects only from life. He paused and paused, finally gave up his intention to wander over so large a field, and at length determined to confine his efforts to the fishes of his own state.

At last, in 1855, he commenced the publication of the "Ichthyology of South Carolina" in parts, and ten of these were issued when the further publication was interrupted by a fire which destroyed the "Artists' Buildings" in Philadelphia, where the pictorial portion of the work was being prosecuted. The original drawings, as well as plates and stones, were all destroyed.

Holbrook took advantage of this loss to better his work in various ways. He explained the circumstances which led to the new edition in his "preface," here reproduced in part:

"The great delay in the publication of the Ichthyology of South Carolina has been caused by the destruction of all the plates, stones, and original drawings in the burning of the 'Artists' Buildings,' in Philadelphia, several years since.

"This made it necessary to have new drawings made of all the different fishes, which has been done at great expense, so great, indeed, that the work could not have been carried on without the aid of the State, which has been freely given." The new

^{*}I have been unable to find any act of appropriation passed by the legislature of South Carolina for aid to Holbrook's work. A work of kindred character ("Tuomey and Holmes' Fossils of South Carolina")

drawings are from nature, and have been made by the best artists, as A. J. Ibbotson and A. Sonrel. The color of the fish has been, in almost every instance, taken from living specimens by J. Burkhardt, an artist of great merit.

"The delay in the publication of the work has, however, enabled me to give more accurate and highly finished plates, and to correct some errors in the letter press.

"As but few numbers of the work were distributed previous to the destruction of the original plates, &c., and the present edition is so much improved, I have decided to recall the former numbers and to replace them by those of the new edition."

Thus an entirely new work was published. The artistic efforts of Richard were superseded by the superior results of Ibbotson and Sonrel, both excellent artists trained under Agassiz, and T. Sinclair's lithographic establishment of Philadelphia was selected for reproducing the illustrations instead of Tappan & Bradford's of Boston; the printing was done by Welch, Bigelow and Company, of Cambridge, in place of Metcalf and Company, and the publishers were "Russell & Jones," in succession to "John Russell," of Charleston, S. C.

Comments on both editions made by the present writer in the American Journal of Science and Arts for 1864, soon after the actual publication of the second edition, are as applicable now as then, and are consequently reproduced.

"In the second edition, the generic and specific descriptions are in most cases entirely the same as those of the first, the principal deviations occurring in the family called Ichthelidæ, which is newly named and defined. The plates are also arranged in the same manner, the only exception relating to xxiii and xxiv, which had the numbers reversed in the first, and the interposition of an additional plate between xxvi and xxvii, which latter, in the second edition, is consequently called xxviii. The figures themselves are mostly new and are, as a rule, superior to those of the original edition; the worst of the first edition are those illustrating the scales of the Sparoid fishes, and another intended to represent the preoperculum of ' Homo-

was appropriated for and supported six years. To "the sixth year's subscription" of \$2,000, a proviso was attached "that no further subscription be made for the said work." (Acts South Carolina, November, 1860, to January, 1861, Statutes at Large, xii, p. 847.)

prion lanceolatus.' Dr. Holbrook, adopting the fashion introduced into this country, of figuring three scales of each species, has caused to be thus represented those of the Sparoids, but none in the first edition give an idea of the type of structure peculiar to the representatives of that family and so characteristic of it. When the scales are so especially figured, we might at least reasonably expect a close approximation to correctness, and when it is not found, and it thus becomes apparent that the author himself has not paid special regard to them, we may well ask why the time and space given to these figures could not have been more advantageously bestowed in illustrating more important characters. By what strange optical delusion a preoperculum, like that represented in the enlarged view of that bone in *Homoprion lanceolatus*, could have been imagined by the artist, is difficult to conjecture. With these remarks, however, special criticism may end, for although some of the other figures might be much improved, most are accurate and compare favorably with the best of those published elsewhere."

Under Homoprion xanthurus the specific character is based on an extract from Cuvier and Valenciennes' description and radial formula of Leiostomus xanthurus, while the body of the description and the figure apply to Bairdiella argyroleuca, the Corvina argyroleuca C. and V., a species of a very different subfamily. If Dr. Holbrook had been correct in his application of Lacépède's name Leiostomus xanthurus, he would have been subject to a charge of a perversion of that author's generic name, but by a happy error he has correctly retained it in its true sense.

"On the other hand, some former names, concerning whose application there is no reasonable room for doubt, have not been at all accepted, such as the Linnæan Labrus auritus and Gasterosteus carolinus. The former was evidently proposed for the species called by Holbrook Ichthelis rubricauda, the Pomotis rubricauda of Storer, well characterized in the terse Linnæan phrase 'opercula apice membranaceo, elongato, obtuso, nigro,' and even rendered more certain as to its application by the doubtful reference to Catesby's figure of Pomotis aureus. It is, however, due to Dr. Holbrook to state that it appeared to him 'certain that the specific name auritus was not applied to the Pomotis vulgaris,' and that Linnæus's description might 'possibly apply to' either P. rubricauda or P. incisor. Probably none

familiar with the subject will hesitate to retain the Linnwan name instead of rubricauda. The Gasterosteus carolinus was as evidently intended for Holbrook's Bothrolæmus pompanus, notwithstanding this author's opinion to the contrary. The latter species, it may be here remarked, has served, at different stages of development, as the type of three genera, and Holbrook's Bothrolæmus is founded simply on very old individuals of Trachynotus in which the teeth had fallen out.

"As Dr. Holbrook has not uniformly adopted a systematic arrangement, but has scattered some species in places where they do not belong, the species given under a family name cannot be considered as members of that family, even in the author's opinion, and many of those have been referred to their proper ones in foot-notes to the text. Labrax, Grystes, Serranus, Diplectrum, Rhypticus, and Centropristes are not Ichthelidæ, but Percidæ; * Pagrus and Serranus nigritus not Sciænidæ, but severally Sparoid and Percoid; and finally Trachinotus and Hæmulon are not 'Scopelinidæ,' but respectively members of the Scombroid and Sciænoid families as understood by Dr. Holbrook.

"With regard to the systematic arrangement thus corrected, it may be remarked that it is not an exposition of the views now prevalent concerning the limits of the families. All the Scombridae of Holbrook are Carangoids, except *Cybium*, *Elacate*, *Echeneis*, and *Temnodon*, members of as many different families. *Ephippus* scarcely belongs to the same family as *Chætodon* and its allies; *Hæmulon* and *Pristopoma* are nearer Sparoids than Sciænoids, and at least do not belong to the latter family. *Lobotes* is the type of a peculiar one, and finally *Saurus* is the representative of another.

"The most important modification in the arrangement is undoubtedly the foundation of the family Ichthelidæ for the reception of the North American fresh-water Percoids of Cuvier with six branchiostegal rays. Adopting the family of Percidæ with

^{*} Labrax, Serranus, Diplectrum, Rhypticus, and Centropristes were later segregated from the Percidæ by the writer into the nearly related family of Serranidæ and Grystes (under the name Micropterus) was referred to the family Centrarchidæ.

 $[\]dagger$ Hxmulon and Pristopoma were later referred to the family Hxmulidx, which is much nearer the Sparoids than the Scienoids.

⁽⁵⁾

⁶³

the boundaries established for it by Sir John Richardson, he has considered that the Theraponidæ of that author taken from it should be itself subdivided, and the family of Ichthelidæ is therefore proposed for some of its constituents. The only positive character of the family mentioned by Holbrook which would remove it from the typical Percoids is the presence of only six branchiostegal rays. As such, if strictly adhered towould necessitate the expulsion from the latter of *Dules* (auriga), Percilia, etc., and their transference to the Ichthelidæ, the character is not the true one, and is of very secondary importance in itself. The group of genera embraced under Ichthelidæ is, however, so natural and its representatives so well distinguished from the true Percoids by their physiognomy that it is probable that the family itself is a natural one. It has indeed more resemblance to the Cichloids, and its species hold the same place in North America that those fishes do in the southern continent and in Africa. Like them, the Ichthelidæ construct a rude nest, guard their young, and are the most characteristic Acanthopterygian types of their respective regions. Their arrangement of colors and the variation in the number of anal spines are analogous and their forms simulate each other. That form is distinguished by the equal development of and the correspondence of the regions of the body above and below the axis, while in the Percoids and others those regions are obliquely opposed."*

The descriptions of the fishes were made on the same general plan as those of the reptiles, and the remarks made on the latter are applicable to the former. First was given a quasidiagnosis after the caption "Specific characters;" then the "Synonymes;" next followed the "Description," the "Colour," the "Dimensions," the "Splanchnology," the "Habits," the "Geographical distribution," and, lastly, "General remarks" respecting relationship, nomenclature, or history. The data respecting splanchnology or abdominal viscera are in small type (brevier), while the rest is in long primer.

The illustrations represent the fish from a direct side view, with the fins extended as much as possible, and there are mag-

^{*}The family *Ichthelidæ* was later named *Centrarchidæ* by the writer and is now generally adopted with the latter name and established on osteological characters. The analogical resemblance of the Centrarchoids and Cichloids was first recognized in the article of 1864 quoted.

nified views of three scales of almost every species, one from the lateral line, one from the back, and one from the belly. As already indicated, the supervision over the artist and correction of his work must have been rather lax.

IV.

Soon after the printing of this work, the civil war, in which South Carolina took so prominent a part, began. Like all other eminent men, Holbrook was obliged to become a participant, and his medical knowledge was utilized by his selection as the "head of the examining board of surgeons in South Carolina."

In 1863 his wife died, and he was left childless and alone. When the forces of the Union took possession of Charleston, the medical college in which his collections were preserved was taken for hospital purposes, and his specimens were wantonly thrown away or seized upon for what they were supposed to be worth; his books were stolen, and finally his drawings and manuscripts were lost or destroyed. An old man now, bereft of most of his fortune, discouraged by adversities, and recognizing that a new order of scientific procedure had begun, he reluctantly ceased to even plan for his work. He continued, however, to go in summer to New England, where he had spent his happy youth, and in the early fall of 1871 (8th of September), stricken by apoplexy, saw the end of life, at his sister's residence in Norfolk, Massachusetts, "breathing his last amidst kind and devoted relatives."

Holbrook was elected a member of the National Academy of Sciences in 1868, during its January session. Had he been in the North at the time of the formation of the Academy (1863), he would probably have been one of its founders; but then he was widely separated by distance as well as by war and sympathies.

The memory of Holbrook has been recalled, in the manner customary to naturalists, in connection with various reptiles, amphibians, and fishes, by a number of zoölogists of eminence. In his honor were named the typical species of *Scaphiopus* by Harlan (1840), one of *Tropidonotus* by Baird and Girard (1853), one of *Callopeltis* by Duméril and Bibron (1854), one of *Trache*mys by Gray (1857), and one of *Lampropeltis* by Stejneger (1902).

Ichthyologists also honored him in such names as Pomotis

holbrookii (Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1831), Alutera holbrookii (Hollard, 1855), Heterandria holbrookii (Agassiz, 1859), Echeneis holbrookii (Günther, 1860), Acipenser holbrookii (Duméril, 1867), Ophidium holbrookii (Putuam, 1874), and Diplodus holbrookii (Bean, 1878). It is proper to add, however, that most of these names have been shown to be synonyms of older ones.

Those who believe in the influence of heredity and association in the determination of tastes or avocations may have their faith fortified by the knowledge that a younger and the only full brother of the naturalist (Silas Pinckney Holbrook, born 1796), although educated for the law, devoted himself to literary pursuits and in his comparatively short life (he died in 1835) contributed much to the periodical and other literature of the country. Although his literary productions were almost entirely published in the North, he went to the South in 1835 and died at Pineville, South Carolina, May 26.

The author is indebted to Dr. Marcus Benjamin for the loan of the originals from which the portrait and letter of Holbrook have been reproduced. These, as well as various other data which were lent, were obtained by Dr. Benjamin in 1887, when he was preparing the biographical notices of members of the National Academy of Sciences for Appletons' Cyclopædia of American Biography.

Both letter and portrait are undated, but the former was evidently written just before his departure for Europe with his wife, about 1842, and the latter was probably taken about the same time, the copy owned by Dr. Benjamin having apparently been reproduced from an old-fashioned daguerreotype. (The daguerreotype was introduced no earlier than 1839.) The neckwear or "stock" also points to that time, and the appearance is that of a man certainly not more than 48 years old, which age Holbrook had attained in 1842.

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

The bibliography of Holbrook is by no means extensive. Indeed, there are very few eminent naturalists who have published so few articles. Except the large works on reptiles and fishes, only one contribution is known, an article published in the *Journal* of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia. No article on any subject of medical or surgical practice appears in any southern or other medical periodical.

The difficulties incident to the consultation of his works may be inferred from the fact that only one edition of each of his great works is possessed by the wealthiest and most progressive zoölogical society in the world. From the fifth edition of the "Catalogue of the Zoölogical Society of London" (1902), it appears that only four volumes of the North American Herpetology are in its library (the fifth being "wanting") and only the incomplete first edition of the "Ichthyology of South Carolina" is there. The Academy of Natural Sciences, whose library is, perhaps, the best zoölogical one in the United States, is also deficient.

Further, most of the notices of Holbrook, and even the very extended "Dictionary" of Sabin, are replete with errors in mention or description of his works.

On account of this rarity or inaccessibility of all editions of his works, Dr. Stejneger and the writer have correlated the two, and the results are here presented with the addition of the family names adopted by Holbrook. In the first column, the names of Holbrook, and in the last those of the "modern nomenclature" are given. The sequence is that of the last edition, as is also the nomenclature, but when different the nomenclature of the first edition is indicated by indented names.

In order to collate the different editions of the "Ichthyology of South Carolina," the writer was obliged to use three libraries, those of the Smithsonian Institution, the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, and the Brevoort, now owned by the American Museum of Natural History.

The collation and description are rendered difficult and perhaps at first incomprehensible by the method of pagination characteristic of old Philadelphia printers (but not of B. Franklin!). They were in the habit of beginning the pagination with Arabic figures on about the second signature (e. g., 16, 9, 5, &c.), and space for the prefatory or introductory matter would thus be provided for; but sometimes there would be a hiatus between that matter (unnumbered or with Arabic figures) and the succeeding signature, or more rarely there would be an overlap. In the following descriptions, when no differentiation is indicated, it may be assumed that the pagination (Roman and Arabic) is continuous:

I.

North American | Herpetology | or | a Description | of the | Reptiles inhabiting the United States. |-| By John Edwards Holbrook, M. D. | [4 lines of titles.]- | Vol. I. [et seq. to III] | = | Philadelphia: | J. Dobson, [etc.]. | 1836 [-1838]. [3 vols., 4to, viz:]

I. 120 pp., 23 pl. 1836.

II. 125 pp. + 1 1., 28 + 2 pl. 1838.

III. [i]-iv + vii-viii + 9-122 pp., 30 pl. 1838.

According to Sabin, "no more of this edition [was] published; these three volumes were afterward reissued with two others, dated as below, which circumstance will account for the fact that while copies of Vols. I-III are not uncommon, entire sets are rare."

There was no reissue in the technical sense, but a complete rearrangement of text and plates, as will be evident from an inspection of the correlation of the two editions. The edition was discontinued with the third volume and replaced by an entirely new one in 1842.

II.

North American | Herpetology | or | a Description | of the | Reptiles inhabiting the United States. |-| By John Edwards Holbrook, M. D. | [5 lines of titles.] | ---Vol. I. [et seq. to V] | = | Philadelphia: | J. Dobson, [etc.]. | 1842. [5 vols., 4to, viz:]

- I. title [= 1 1.] + contents [= 1 1.] + preface [= ix -] xv + 17-152 pp., 24 pl.
- II. title + blank 1. + preface = [v -] vi + contents [= iii -] iv + 9-142 pp., 20 pl.
- III. title + ii + 3–128 pp., 30 pl.
- IV. vi + 7–138 pp., 35 pl.
- V. vi + 5-118 pp., 38 pl.

According to Sabin, the work was published "1842-43," but the title page of each volume, *mut. mut.*, is alike and bears the date 1842.

The plates are numbered with small Arabic numerals at the bottom, under the legends, but the references to them in the text are given in large Roman numerals. In the following correlation they are indicated by small Roman type.

CORRELATION OF FIRST AND SECOND EDITIONS OF HOLBROOK'S NORTH AMERICAN HERPETOLOGY.

Holbrook's nomenclature. Fi	rst edition		ond edi- ion.	Modern nomenclature.
Vol	. Page, Plat	e. Page	e, Plate.	
Order I.	CHELON	. Bro	gniart.*	
FAMILY I. CH	ERSITES.	Dumeri	l† et Bib	ron.
Testudo polyphemus I Cistuda carolinaIII blandingii (n.)	, 41 , 9 35 y	$egin{array}{c c} i & 25 \ i & 31 \ 7 & 39 \end{array}$	pl. i ii iii	Gopherus polyphemus. Terrapene carolina. Emydoidea blandingii.
FAMILY II. F	Clodites.	Dumer	il et Bibr	on.
Emys muhlenbergii I. serrata II. rubniventris. II. reticulata II. floridana	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	iv vi vii viii ix xi xii xiii xiii xiii	Clemmys muhlenbergii. Pseudemys scripta. Pseudemys rubriventris. Pseudemys reticulata. Pseudemys floridana. Pseudemys floridana. Pseudemys poita. Chrysemys picta. Clemmys gattatus. Malactemmys centrata. Clemmys insculpta. Graptemys geographica. Graptemys pseudo-geo- graphica. Chrysemys bellii. Pseudemys hieroglyphica.
cumberlandensis (n.) concinna troostii (n.)	55 iv 23 iii	$ \begin{array}{c} 115 \\ 119 \\ 123 \\ 127 \end{array} $	xviii xix xx xxi	Pseudemys elegans, Pseudemys concinna, Pseudemys troostii, Kinosternon pennsylva-
Sternothærus odoratus Chelonura serpentina temminckii (n.)	29 iv	$\begin{array}{c c}133\\139\\.147\end{array}$	xxii xxiii xxiv	nicum. Kinosternon odoratum. Chelydra serpentina. Macrochelys temminckii.
FAMILY III. P	OTAMITES.	Dumer	ril et Bib	ron.
Trionyx ferox muticus	•••••	$\begin{array}{c c} & V \\ & 11 \\ & 19 \end{array}$	pl. II. pl. i ii	Aspidonectes ferox. Amyda mutica.
FAMILY IV. TH	ALASSITES.	Dume	eril et Bi	bron.
Chelonia mydas caretta imbricata Spargis coriacea	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	$\begin{array}{c c c} . & 25 \\ . & 33 \\ . & 39 \\ . & 45 \end{array}$	iii iv v vi	Chelonia mydas. Thalassochelys caretta, Eretmochelys imbricata. Dermochelys coriacea.

*Brongniart's name was erroneously curtailed to Brogniart. †Duméril's name was invariably given by Holbrook without an accent.

CORRELATIONS OF FIRST AND SECOND EDITIONS-Continued.

.

£						
Holbrook's nomenclature.	Firs	t editio	n.	Seco V	ond ed. ol. II.	Modern nomenclature
	Vol.	Page, Pla	ate.	Page	e. Plate.	
Ori	DER I	SAUR	TA.	Bro	aniart	
Fan		Crocon			Curio	
EAN	111/1+	CROCOD	11.11	50. 50	Cacter.	4 17 *
Auigator Mississippiensis	· · · · · ·	••••	••••	- 03	V11	Alligator mississippiensu
FAMILY.	IGUA	NIDA.	Dua	neril	et Bibro	m.
Anolius Curolinensis Tropidolepis undulatus Crotaphytus collaris (n.) Phrynosoma cornuta orbiculare	I, 111, 111,	67 v 51 v 55 t 61 65	iii iii ix x	67 73 79 87 93 97	viii ix xi xi xii	Anolis carolinensis. Sceloporus undulatus. Crotaphytus collaris. Phrynosoma cornutum. Phrynosoma cornutum. Pharmosoma blaiwillei
doualassii		69 x	cii	101	xiv	Phrimosoma doualassii.
FAMILY.	Lacee	TINIDA.	D	итег	ril et Bili	ron.
America sectionata	T	63	vi ∥	100		Cremidonhorus continer
America seximecaa	ч,	05	v 1	100	AV	tus.
	FAM	ily. Sci	INCO.	IDEA		
Plestindan eruthrocenhalus	1 10 10		li	117	vvi	Eumeres fasciatus
(Scincus erythrocephalus).	II, 1	01 xx	tii 📗	•••		12ametto Justianas.
Scincus quinquelineatus	III,	39	vi	121	xvii	Eumeces fasciatus.
fasciatus Lugosoma lateralis		40 V		127 133	xvin xix	Lumeces Jasciatus. Leiolonisma laterale
(Scincus lateralis)	Ι,	71 v	iii 📗			netotopiena aaeraae.
	FAM	aly. Ci	IALC	IDA.		
Onhisanrus ventralis			11	139	xx	Onhisaurus ventralis
	De III	Орнит	н эт а	Rez	aviart	opinioun de contraction
ORDE	.т.т.л. Т		//A.			
	ГАМ	ILY. UR		LOID. V	EA. ol III	
Crotalus durissus	II,	81 xv	ii 🎚	- 9	pl. i	Crotalus horridus.
adamanteus		77 x	vi	17	ii	Crotalus adamanteus.
Oregonus (n.)	••••	•••••	•••	$\frac{21}{25}$	111 iv	Crotalus oregonus. Sistrurus miliarius
(Crotalus miliarius)	II,	73 x	$\frac{1}{2}$	<u>~0</u>	1 V	Ston and matter tas.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			- 1			~ · ·
Crotalophorus tergeminus	••••	•••••	•••	$\frac{29}{21}$	v	Sistrurus catenatus.
Crotalophorus tergeminus kirtlandi (n.)				$\frac{29}{31}$	v vi	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Aakistradon niscirorus
Crotalophorus tergeminus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix	 II,	63 xi 69 xi	iii iv	29 31 33 39	v vi vii viii	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix.
Crotalophorus tergenunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus	 11,	63 xi 69 xi	 iii iv	29 31 33 39 43	v vi vii viii ix	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ?
Crotalophorus tergenunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus	II, Fami	63 xi 69 xi	iii iv APSO	29 31 33 39 43 1DEA	v vi vii viii ix	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ?
Crotalophorus tergemunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus Elaps fulvius	II, Fami II,	63 xi 69 xi Ly. EL2 87 xvi	iii iv Apso	29 31 33 39 43 1DEA 49	v vi vii viii ix 	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ? Elaps fulvius.
Crotalophorus tergemunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus Elaps fulvius	П, Fami П, Fami	63 xi 69 xi Ly. EL 87 xvi y. Colu	iii iv Apso iii	29 31 33 39 43 1DEA 49	v vi vii viii ix x	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ? Elaps fulvius.
Crotalophorus tergemunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus Elaps fulvius	II, Fami II, Famil	63 xi 69 xi 	iii iv apso iii jber	29 31 33 39 43 1DEA 49 01DE	v vi vii viii ix X	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ? Elaps fulvius.
Crotalophorus tergemunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus Elaps fulvius Y Coluber constrictor	II, Fami II, Famil	63 xi 69 xi 1y. EL 87 xvi y. Colt	iii iv Apso iii JBER	29 31 33 43 1DEA 49 01DE 55 61	v vii viii viii ix X X X X X X X X X X	Sistrurus calenatus. Sistrurus calenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ? Elaps fulvius. Bascanion constrictor. Callopeltis obsoletus.
Crotalophorus tergemunus kirtlandi (n.) Trigonocephalus piscivorus contortrix atro-fuscus Elaps fulvius Coluber constrictor besoletus	II, Fami II, Famil	63 xi 69 xi Ly. EL2 87 xvi Y. Colu	iii iv apso iii jber	29 31 33 43 1DEA 49 01DE 55 61 63	v vii viii ix ix x x x x ii xiii	Sistrurus catenatus. Sistrurus catenatus. Agkistrodon piscivorus. Agkistrodon contortrix. ? Elaps fulvius. Bascanion constrictor. Callopettis obsoletus. Bascanion testaceum.

Holbrook's nomenclature.	First edition.	Seco	ond ed.	Modern nomenclature.
		l ve), III.	
	Vol. Page, Plate.	Page	e. Plate.	
Coluber guitatus	$11,109 \times 10$	65	X1V	Callopettis guitatus.
eximius		69	xy	Lampropettis triangula.
couperi (n.)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	75	X V 1	Drymarchon couperi.
vernalis	TT 11	79	x v11	Liopellis vernalis.
punctatus	11, 115 XXVI	81	X V111	Diadophis punctatus.
allegnamensis	1,111 XX	80	XIX	Callopetiis obsoletus.
Cononalla astula	115 XX1	89	XX	L'ampenditio actuluo
Coroneua genau	· • · · • • · · • • • • • • • • • • • •	90		Lampropettis bolbrooki
$rhowho-magulata (\mathbf{n})$	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	102		Lampropettis notorooki.
I-V-tr	••••	105		ulata.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	105	XX1V	Lampropeuts aouaus.
(Coluber erythrogrammus).	I, 115 xxii	107	XXV	Abastor erythrogrammas.
$\begin{array}{c} Helicops \ abacurus (n.) \dots \dots \\ (\ Coluber \ abacurus) \dots \dots \end{array}$	I, 119 xxiii	111	xxvi	Farancia abacura.
Brachyorrhos amænus		115	xxvii	Carphophis amænus.
Calamaria elapsoidea (n.)		119	xxviii	Lampropeltis elapsoides.
(Coluber elapsoides)	II, 123 xxviii			
Calamaria striatula		123	xxix	Haldea striatula.
Rhinostoma coccinea		125	XXX	Cemophora coccinea.
		Ve	ol. IV.	
Pituophis melanoleucus.	· • • · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	pl. i	Pituophis melanoleucus.
Psammophis flagelliformis.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	11	ii	Bascanion flagellum.
(Coluber flagelliformis)	I, 107 xix			
Leptophis æstivus.	TT	17	iii	O pheodrys æstivus.
(Coluber æstivus)	11, 119 xxvii			
Leptophis sauritus	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	21	1V	Thamnophis sauritus.
(Colubor fasciatus)	TI 02	20	v	Matrix Jasciaia.
Tronidonotus singdou	11, 95 XX	90	:	Natrin singdon
eruthroaaster	•••••••	29	vii	Natrix eruthroaaster
(Coluber eruthrogaster).	II. 91 viv	00	VII	11 an ar gun ogaster.
Tropidonotus taxispilotus (n)	2-, 01 AIA	35	viii	Natrix taxispilotus.
(Coluber taxispilotus)	II, 113 xxv			
Tropidonotus niger		37	ix	Natrix sipedon.
riĝidus	••••••••••••	- 39	х	Natrix rigida.
sirtalis		41	xi	Thamnophis sirtalis.
ordinatus	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45	xii	Thamnophis ordinatus.
leberis	••••••	49	xiii	Natrix leberis.
<i>dekayi</i> (n.)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	53	xiv	Storeria dekayi.
Heterodon simus		57	xv	Heterodon simus.
niger	11, 105 xxiii	63	xvi	Heterodon platinhinos.
$platirhinos \ldots \ldots$	97 xxi]	67	xvii	Heterodon platirhinos.
Order	IV. BATRACHIA	. Br	ogniart.	
Suborder II. E	CAUDATA. $Oppe$	d. D	humeril ϵ	et Bibron.
	FAMILY I. RANG	DIIDEA	۱.	

CORRELATIONS OF FIRST AND SECOND EDITIONS-Continued.

٠

 Rana pipiens
 III, 81
 xv
 77
 xviii Rana catesbeiana.

 horiconensis
 91
 xviii
 83
 xix Rana clamitans.

 clamitans
 89
 xviii
 85
 xx Rana clamitans.

Holbrook's nomenclature.	First edition.		Second edi- tion.		Modern nomenclature.	
	Vol. Page.	Plate.	Page.	Plate.		•
Rana fontinalis halecina palustris sylvatica	85 I, 89 93 95	xvi xiii xiv xv	87 91 95 99	xxi xxii xxiii xxiii xxiv	Rana clamitans. Rana pipiens. Rana palustris. Rana sylvatica.	
Cystignathus ornatus (n.) (Rana ornata)		xvi	103	XXV	Chorophilus ornatus.	
Cystignathus nigritus (n.) (Rana nigrita)	III. 93	 xix	107	xxvi	Chorophilus nigritus.	
Scaphiopus solitaríus (n.)	I, 85	xii	109	xxvii	Scaphiopus holbrookii.	

CORRELATIONS OF FIRST AND SECOND EDITIONS-Continued.

FAMILY II. HYLOIDEA.

Hyla versicolo	r	I, 101	xvii	115	xxviii	Hyla versicolor.
viridis	· • • · · · • • • • • • • • • • •	III, 95	xx	119	xxix	$Hyla\ cinerea.$
squirella		I, 105	xviii	123	XXX	Hyla squirella.
femorali	8			127	xxxi	Hyla femoralis.
delitescer	18			129	xxxii	?
Hylodes gryll	us	III, 75	xiii	131	xxxiii	Acris gryllus.
picke	ringii (n.)		 .	135	xxxiv	Hyla pickeringii.
oculo	ris	III, 79	xiv	137	XXXV	Chorophilus ocularis.

FAMILY III. BUFONOIDEA.

	Vol. V.
Bufo lentiginosus	7 pl. i Bufo lentiginosus.
$(Bufo\ clamosus)$ I, 79 xi	
$erythronotus \dots III, 99 \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \parallel$	11 ii ?
quercicus (n.)	13 iii Bufo quercicus.
americanus I, 75 ix	17 iv Bufo americanus.
cognatus	21 v Bufo cognatus.
Engystoma carolinense (n.) I, 83 x \parallel	23 vi Engystoma carolinense.

FAMILY II. CAUDATA.

Salamandra	gutto-lineata II, 61 xii	29
	salmonea III, 101 xxii	- 33
	rubra	- 35
	glutinosa	- 39
	erythronota III. 113 xxvii	43
	auriculata (n) 115 xxviii	47
	quadrimaculáta (n)	49
	jeffersoniana	51
	cirrigera	53
	bilineata	55
	symmetrica II. 59 xi	57
	haldemani (n)	59
	longicauda III. 111 xxvi	61
	granulata	63
	quadridıgitata (n.)	65

72

.

- vii Spelerpes guttolineatus.
 viii Spelerpes porphyriticus.
 ix Spelerpes ruber.
 x Plethodon glutinosus.
 xi Plethodon cinereus.
 xii Desmognathus auriculata.
 xiii Desmognathus quadri-maculata.
 xiy Ambustoma ieffersoniamaculata. xiv Ambystoma jeffersonia-num. xv Spelerpes bislineatus. xvi Spelerpes bislineatus. xvii Diemictylus viridescens. xviii ? vix Spelerpes Imgicaudus

- xix Spelerpes longicaudus. xx Ambystoma jeffersonianum.
- xxi Manculusquadridigitatus.

Holbrook's nomenclature.	First edition.		Second edi- tion.		Modern nomenclature.
	Vol. Page.	Plate.	No Page.	l. V. Plate,	
Salamandra venenosa	111, 105	xxiv	67	xxii	Ambystoma maculatum.
$talpoidea (n.), \ldots$	103	xxix	$71 \\ 73$	xxiv	Ambystoma talpoideum.
Triton dorsalis	 II 57	· · · · · ·	77	XXV	Diemictylus viridescens.
Triton tigrinus			79	xxvi	Ambystoma tigrinum.
(Salamandra tigrina) Triton niger	111, 109	XXV	81	xxvii	Desmognathus nigra.
porphyriticus		•••••	83	xxviii	Spelerpes porphyriticus.
ıngens		\cdots	85	XXIX	Ambystoma tigrinum.

CORRELATIONS OF FIRST AND SECOND EDITIONS—Continued.

TRIBE II. IMMUTABILIA.

FAMILY I. CRYPTOBRANCHOIDEA.

Amphiuma means	89	XXX	Amphiuma means.
tridactylum.	93	xxxi	Amphiuma means.
Menopoma alleghaniensis	95	xxxii	Cryptobranchus allegha-
			niensis.
fusca (n.)	99	xxxiii	Cryptobranchus fuscus.

FAMILY II. PHANEROBRANCHOIDEA.

Siren lacertina	101 xxxiv Siren lacertina.
intermedia	107 xxxv Siren lacertina.
striata	109 xxxvi Pseudobranchus striatus.
Menobranchus maculatus	111 xxxvii Necturus maculosus.
lateralis III, 119 xxx	115 xxxviii Necturus maculosus.

III.

Southern Ichthyology: or, a Description of the Fishes inhabiting the Waters of South Carolina, Georgia, and Florida. No. 2. New York: Wiley & Putnam. 1847. [4to, pp. 1-32, colored plates i-iv.]

No more appears to have been published; see page 59.

The species described and illustrated and the names now generally accepted are herewith given:

Holbrook's names.	Page.	Plate.	Fig.	
Umbrina alburnus	1	I	1	Menticirrus alburnus.
Umbrina littoralis	10		2	littoralis.
Micropogon undulatus	12	II	2	Micropogon undulatus.
Corvina ocellata	17		1	Scixnops ocellatus.
Leiostomus obliquus	21	III	1	Leiostomus xanthurus.
Lobotes surinamensis	25		2	Lobotes surinamensis.
Elacate canada	30	IV	1	Elacate canada.
Ephippus gigas		IV	2	Chætodipterus faber.
	73			

IV.

An account of several species of Fish observed in Florida, Georgia, &c. Journ. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., III, Art. V, pp. 47-58, pls. v, vi, 1855. Descriptions and illustrations of eight fresh-water fishes are published, viz:

Holbrook's names. Page. Plate. Fig. Modern names. Pomotis elongatus..... 47 1 Lepomis auritus solis. v " speciosus..... 48 $\mathbf{2}$ holbrooki. *marginatus*..... 49 $\mathbf{2}$ vi megalops. 3 Enneacanthus obesus. v " Enneacanthus gloriosus. 4 Calliurus floridensis..... 53vi 1 Chanobryttus gulosus. " Pimelodus marmoratus.... 54 Ameiurus nebulosus marmoratus. 4 " " 3 Boleichthys fusiformis.

V.

lchthyology | of | South Carolina. | By John Edwards Holbrook, M. D., | [7 lines of titles] | Charleston, S. C. : | Published by John Russell. | 1855 [-1857]. [4to, t. p. $(= 1 \ l.) +$ notice $(= 1 \ l.) +$ pp. 1–184, pl. 1–29 + pl. unnumbered.] 27 colored plates.

Only the date 1855 is given on the title page, but the issue of the parts extended over nearly three years. The author's "notice" is dated "November 10th, 1854."

VI.

Ichthyology | of | South Carolina. | By John Edwards Holbrook, M. D., | [7 lines of titles.]—Vol. I. | Charleston, S. C. : | Published by Russell and Jones. | 1860. | [4to, vii + 205 pp., 28 pl.]

The species described and illustrated are enumerated in the following correlation of both editions:

Correlation of Editions of 1855-'57 and 1860 of Holbrook's Fishes of South Carolina,

Holbrook's names.	Text.		Illustrations.		Modern names.	
	1. ed.	2. ed.		Pls.		
	\mathbf{F}	MILY	Percidæ.			
Perca flavescens	2	2	i,	1	Perca flavescens.	
	Ғам	ily Io	HTHELIDA	Z.		
Domotio mulamia	6	8	i	9	Funamatie aibbanue	

Pomotis vulgaris	· 0	0	1,	2	Eupomous giocosus
Ichthelis incisor		12	ii,	1	Lepomis pallidus.
(Pomotis incisor)	13			1	
		74			

Holbrook's names.	Te	Text. Illustrations.		tions.	Modern names.	
	1. ed.	2. ed.		Pls.		
Ichthelis rubricauda.		15		2	Lepomis auritus.	
(Pomotis rubricauda)	10			$\frac{2}{2}$		
Centrarchus irideus		18	iii,	1	Centrarchus macropterus.	
	15		,	1	Enneacanthus obesus.	
Labrax Americanus		20		2	Morone americana.	
(Labrax rufus)	21			2		
Labrax lineatus	17	24	iv,	1	Roccus lineatus.	
Grystes Salmoides	. 25	28		2	Micropterus salmoides.	
Serranus erythrogaster.	. 29	32	v,	2	Epinephelus morio.	
Diplectrum fasciculare	32	35		1	Diplectrum fasciculare.	
Pomoxis hexacanthus		39	vi,	1	Pomoxis hexacanthus.	
(Centrarchus hexacanthus).	36			1		
Rhypticus maculatus (n.)		42		2	Rypticus maculatus.	
(Rypticus maculatus)	39			2		
Centropristes atrarius	42	45	vii,	2	Centropristes striatus.	
trifurca	. 47	49		1	Centropristes philadelphicus	
	FA	MILY	Sparidæ	č.		
Sargus ovis	51	$\overline{53}$	viii,	2	Archosargus probatocepha- lus.	
Lagodon rhomboides		59		1		
(Sargus rhomboides)	56			1		
	FAI	MILY S	COMBRID	.е.		
Temnodon saltator	. 62	64	ix,	2	Pomatomus saltatrix.	
Cybium maculatum	. 66	68	,	1	Scomberomorus maculatus.	
Seriola carolinensis (n.)	. 70	72	x,	2	Seriola carolinensis.	
zonata	. 73	75		1	Seriola zonata.	
chloris		79	xi,	1	Chloroscombrus chrysurus.	
(Seriola cosmopolita)	. 77			1		
Bothrolæmus pampanus (n.)	. 81	83		2	Trachynotus carolinus.	
Caraux defensor	. 85	87	xii,	1	Carangus chrysos.	
hippos	. 88	90		2	Carangus hippos.	
falcatus (n.)	. 92	94	\mathbf{x} iii, 2	(sup.)	Hemicaranxambly rhynchus.	
Richardi (n.)	. 94	96	xiii, 1	(inf.)	Carangichthys latus.	
Elacate canada		97	xiv,	2	Elacate canada.	
	95		,	1		
Echeneis lineata (n.)		102	xiv,	1	Echeneis neucrates.	
(Echeneis albicauda)	. 101			2		

CORRELATIONS-Continued.

FAMILY SQUAMIPINNIDÆ.

xv,

Ephippus gigas..... 105 107 *faber.....* 108 110

Chætodipterus faber, old.
 Chætodipterus faber, young.

,

1

ì

ı.

?

i T •

CORRELATIONS—Continued.

Holbrook's names. Tex	t.	Illustrations.		Modern names.						
1. ed. 2	2. ed.	Pls.								
FAMILY SCIENIDE.										
P ogonias cromis 112	114	xvi,	2	Pogonias chromis, old.						
fasciatus 118	119		1	Pogonias chromis, young.						
Hæmulon chrysopteron 120	121	xvii,	1	Hæmulon chrysopteron.						
arcuatum 123	124		2	Hæmulon plumieri.						
Otolithus regalis 127	129	xviii,	1	Cynoscion regalis.						
thalassinus $(n.)$ 132	133		2	Cynoscion thalassinus.						
nothus (n.) 134	134	xix,	1	Cynoscion nothus.						
<i>carolinensis</i> 133	136		2	Cynoscion nebulosus.						
Umbrina alburnus 136	137	xx,	1	Menticirrus alburnus.						
<i>littoralis</i> (n.) 142	144		2	Menticirrus littoralis.						
Micropogon undulatus 145	146	xxi,	1	Micropogon undulatus.						
Corvina ocellata 149	150		2	Sciænops ocellatus.						
Larimus fasciatus (n.) 153	154	xxii,	1	Larimus fasciatus.						
Pristipoma fulvomaculatum	157		2	Orthopristis fulvomaculatus.						
(Hæmulon fulvomaculatum). 156			2							
Leiostomus obliguus	160	xxiii,	1	Leiostomus xanthurus.						
163		xxiv,	1							
Homoprion xanthurus	164	xxiv,	2	Bairdiella chrysura.						
170		xxiv,	2							
lanceolatus (n.)	167	xxiv,	1	Stellifer lanceolatus.						
168		xxiii,	1							
Lobotes surinamensis 159	169		2	Lobotes surinamensis.						
Pagrus argyrops 175	174	xxv,	1	Stenotomus chrysops.						
Serranus nigritus (n.) 173	177		2	Garrupa nigrita.						
FAMILY ELOPIDÆ.										
<i>Elops saurus</i> 179	180	xxvi,	2	Elops saurus.						
FAMILY SCOPELINIDÆ.										
Saurus fætens 184	187	xxvi,	1	Synodus fætens.						
Trachinotus alaucus	192	xxviii,	1	Trachynotus glaucus.						
Hæmulon quadrilineatum	185	,	2	Bathystoma rimator.						
FAMILY ESOCIDE.										
Esox affinis (n.)	198	xxvii.*	1	Lucius reticulatus,						
ravenelii (n.)	201	,	2	Lucius americanus.						

* The references in the text under *Esox affinis* and *Esox ravenelii* are to plate xxviii, but the plate itself is numbered xxvii, while the plate numbered xxviii is referred to in the text as xxvii.

VII.

UNPUBLISHED ENGRAVED PLATES.

The last part of the first edition of the Ichthyology of South Carolina issued ended with page 184, in the midst of the description of the *Saurus factens* and of the dentition with the words "the palate;" the last plate was number "XXVII," containing the figures of two pikes, which were unnamed, but in the new and revised edition named *Esox affinis* and *Esox ravenelii*.

The copy of the first edition in the Library of Congress (Smithsonian deposit) is imperfect, pages 1 to 16, as well as 177 to 184, being wanting. I have not been able to ascertain definitely whether the description under the name *Centrarchus iridens* is applicable to that species (now known as *Centrarchus macropterus*) or not, but it probably is; the figure, however, is that of the entirely different *Enneacanthus obesus*.

Holbrook had ready for issue, all engraved, three plates, of which proofs were obtained by the Smithsonian Institution, and have been bound at the end of the imperfect copy of the first edition.

Two of these were numbered ("Pl. XXVIII" and "Pl. XXIX") and apparently had been drawn by Richard; they had been "printed by Tappan & Bradford, Boston." The other was unlettered and much more finely executed; the artist probably was Sonrel, and the plate had probably been drawn and engraved much later than the others.

On "Pl. XXVIII" are represented the Tailor Herring (*Pomolobus mediocris*) and Shad (*Alosa sapidissima*).

On "Pl. XXIX" are delineated the Menhaden (*Brevoortia tyrannus*) and Gizzard Shad (*Dorosoma cepedianum*).

On the unnumbered plate are ten figures representing various species of Cyprinodonts or Peeciliids, viz., Fundulus majalis (\mathcal{J} and \mathcal{Q}), Cyprinodon variegatus (\mathcal{J} and \mathcal{Q}), Mollinesia latipinnis (\mathcal{J}), Fundulus chrysotus (\mathcal{J}), Gambusia affinis (\mathcal{J} and \mathcal{Q}), and Heterandria formosa (\mathcal{J} and \mathcal{Q}).

The second part of the "Southern Ichthyology," supposed to be the only one published, is not in any of the great libraries, and the only copy I ever saw has disappeared from view. Recently I applied in vain for information respecting it to the Library of Congress, the Fish Commission, the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, Mr. Samuel Garman of the Museum of Comparative Anatomy, and Dr. Anthony Woodward of the American Museum of Natural History.